

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Complaint No. 55/2019/SIC-I

Mrs. Larissa Martins E Rodrigues,
H. No. 409, Zorulem, Tuem,
Pernem-Goa.

.....Complainant

v/s

1.Public Information Officer (PIO),
Electricity Department Div. XVII,
Ansabhat, Mapusa-Bardez, Goa

.....Respondents

CORAM: MS. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner.

Filed on: 28/10/2019
Decided on:27/11/2019

ORDER

1. This order disposes the present complaint filed by Mrs Larissa Martins E. Rodrigues u/s 18 of the RTI Act, 2005. The brief facts as put forth by the complainant are as under;
 - a) The complainant by her application dated 24/6/2019 filed u/s 6(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 had sought for certain information from Respondent under 8 points therein as the same was required to be given to her legal representative for furtherance of justice .
 - b) It is the contention of the Complainant that the PIO after knowing the details of the RTI Application, rejected the application and returned the application back to the complainant without furnishing the information as such deeming the same as rejection ,she filed 1st appeal u/s 19(1) of RTI, 2005 on 01/07/2019 before the First appellate authority and the first appellate authority was pleased to allow the appeal and directed the Respondent PIO to furnish

the required information to the complainant within a weeks time.

c) It is the contention the Complainant that the Respondent PIO failed to comply with the order of the first appellate authority and no information came to be provided to her by Respondent PIO and issued her a letter in a bad spirit as such she being aggrieved by the action of Respondent PIO had file second appeal before this commission and during the hearing before this commission the information was provided to her and the appeal was disposed without any penalty or fine to the PIO .

2. It is contention of the complainant that later she was called by vigilance committee of the Electricity Board at Panaji and during the inspection of documents the Vigilance committee Board verified that about 7 odd documents which were furnished to them were no provided to the complainant.
3. In this background the complainant has approached this commission on 25/10/2019 by way of present complainant filed against the respondent with the contention that incomplete information has been provided to her deliberately and intentionally.
4. In the present complaint, the complainant has sought for directions for providing her information, for compensation, for inquiry and for invoking Penal provisions.
5. In pursuant to the notice of this commission, the complainant was present in person along with Shri Grenville Dias. Present PIO Shri M.M. Shiroo was present alongwith William Barretto.
6. During the hearing on 27/11/2019, the respondent submitted that the information was duly sent by speed post to the complainant which was returned unserved and he volunteered to furnish the

additional information to the complainant and the said was accordingly furnished and accepted by the complainant.

7. The complainant after verifying the information and the enclosures submitted that her main requirement was to receive the information as the same was required by her to produce the same before the Hon'ble High Court and since now she has received the complete information, has got no any further grievances against Respondent and as such she does not desire to pursue with the present complaint and intends to withdraw the same. Accordingly endorsement to that effect have been made by the complainant on the memo of complaint.
8. In view of the submission of the complainant and the endorsement made by her, I find no reasons to proceed with the matter. Hence the same is disposed as withdrawn.

Proceedings closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa